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Reviewer’s report:

1. Is the question posed by the authors well defined?
   well defined authors but the title already announces the anecdotal nature of the paper

2. Are the methods appropriate and well described?
   poor description of the educational process

3. Are the data sound?
   minimalistic data

4. Does the manuscript adhere to the relevant standards for reporting and data deposition?
   anecdotal account of an educational project that is surely extremely useful in its own right

9. Is the writing acceptable?
   fluid English

- Major Compulsory Revisions
  describe in extenso the educational methods and contents
  quantify rather than express (all over the paper) by "most", "some", ...
  impossible to draw conclusions, usable for other projects
  this reads more like a project report than a scientific paper

- Minor Essential Revisions
  in light of the above: irrelevant

Level of interest: An article of insufficient interest to warrant publication in a scientific/medical journal

Quality of written English: Acceptable

Statistical review: No, the manuscript does not need to be seen by a
statistician.