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Author's response to reviews: see over
To the editorial board of the BMC Medical Education Journal

As the contact author of the manuscript entitled as “RESIDENTS’ VIEWS ABOUT FAMILY MEDICINE SPECIALTY EDUCATION IN TURKEY” I want to thank again our reviewers for their precious comments and for spending their time for us.

We revised our manuscript once more according to their views and recommendations.

**First reviewer: Amanda Barnard**

- We corrected the spelling problem in the methods section. Thank you for your kind support.

**Second Reviewer: Marjan Kjakovic**

- **The abstract** is revised according to your suggestions. Thank you for your guidance to revise our abstract and your positive comments.

- **The results:** the text is revised and the numbers under 10 were replaced by words in the whole text.

- **The discussion** is shortened. The following sentences have been removed.

- According to the European Union (EU) Directive 93/16 (6), a training period of a minimum of two years and six months in a general practice setting has been advised:

- In the EU Directive 93/16, a training period of minimum of six months in a general practice setting was recommended as part of FM residency training.

- According to the majority of the residents who were unsatisfied (45%) with the current length of the program, four years could be considered optimal for family medicine residency training.

- The residents who responded affirmatively about three years “appreciated that there was a lot they do not know but that they anticipated to continue to learn throughout their career.”... Residents proposed that, instead of expanding the length, the quality of the program should be improved.

- **The references:** the order of the references number 12 to 14 were corrected.

Native English: We have taken support of a native English speaking professional to correct the language problems of our manuscript. If you think there are still language problem we can also ask for additional help.

We are thankful to the editorial Board of the Journal and to all of our reviewers for spending their time for our manuscript.

With my best regards

Arzu Uzuner