Reviewer's report

Title: DNA index determination with Automated Cellular Imaging System (ACIS) in Barrett's esophagus: Comparison with CAS 200

Version: 4 Date: 12 June 2005

Reviewer: Thomas J Sebo

Reviewer's report:

General

Major Compulsory Revisions (that the author must respond to before a decision on publication can be reached)

None. The authors appear to have addressed my major concerns from the initial review.

Minor Essential Revisions (such as missing labels on figures, or the wrong use of a term, which the author can be trusted to correct)

There are still some rather significant grammatical errors in the text which, I presume will be corrected by the editorial office.

Discretionary Revisions (which the author can choose to ignore)

See above. Basically, the manuscript needs to be reviewed by someone who will correct the grammatical errors in the text. Furthermore, I am not sure why "Add figure of reproducibility???” is under Figure 4 legend.

I still believe that it would be in the best interest of the reader for the authors to add a table showing the differences in time required to complete the analyses by the two instruments. The authors address the time difference in the text, but it is buried given the complexity of the information provided.

What next?: Accept after minor essential revisions

Level of interest: An article of importance in its field

Quality of written English: Needs some language corrections before being published

Statistical review: No
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