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Reviewer’s report:

Major Compulsory Revisions
1. How were the variables categorized in the analyses of associations between socio-demographic characteristics and views on herbal medicines?
If the categories in table 1 were used, some of the categories would presumably be too small to include in the analyses. For example: age 41- 50 years (5 women), basic adult literacy education (1 woman), postgraduate education (5 women), marital status single (5 women) or separated (5 women).
These should be explained under "Method".

Minor Essential Revisions
1. Table 1. The figures do not always add up to 595. The authors could give a footnote "Figures do not add up to 595 due to missing information for some variables.
2. Table 2. The highest percentages should be presented first.
3. Page 5. Third line in the discussion: There is possibly a "not" to much in the sentence "This was not considered to be outside the scope of the study which was to determine the extent of use, evaluate factors affecting use in pregnancy and to document the incidence of herb use among this population".
4. Several references are still not written according to the journals specifications. Reference 11 is not complete.
5. The font in the manuscript differs in several places.

Level of interest: An article whose findings are important to those with closely related research interests

Quality of written English: Needs some language corrections before being published

Statistical review: Yes, and I have assessed the statistics in my report.
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