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Reviewer's report:

General

Dear authors,

Thank you for answering my questions and submitting an adjusted manuscript.

I understand the problems with the word ‘feasibility’ in the title and if there are no other suggestions from the editor, I agree with the title.

Thank you for adding a short paragraph about recommendations for future studies. In the last sentence of the paragraph, I noticed a new study aim. This may be added at the end of the background section.

-------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Major Compulsory Revisions (that the author must respond to before a decision on publication can be reached)

-------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Minor Essential Revisions (such as missing labels on figures, or the wrong use of a term, which the author can be trusted to correct)

Figure 1 is inserted two times in the manuscript. In the method section, it should be Table 1.

Results section, 2nd paragraph: As shown in table 2, dropouts were slightly older.... This isn't true, table 2 shows the baseline characteristics of the three groups analyzed. I prefer the previous notation plus one sentence about what's in table 2.

In the paragraph in the results section starting with: The exploratory analysis ... Table 1 is cited incorrectly.

-------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Discretionary Revisions (which the author can choose to ignore)

What next?: Accept after minor essential revisions

Level of interest: An article whose findings are important to those with closely related research interests

Quality of written English: Acceptable

Statistical review: No, the manuscript does not need to be seen by a statistician.
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