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Reviewer's report:

General

The authors have responded to virtually all of my previous concerns. I am still puzzled by the lack of perfect agreement for gender and race in the validation study. These are variables that are presumably easy for individuals to recognize and do not change over time. They suggest that there must be some coding errors on the forms (that may also apply to the other variables), but do not materially alter the results of the study.
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Major Compulsory Revisions (that the author must respond to before a decision on publication can be reached)
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Minor Essential Revisions (such as missing labels on figures, or the wrong use of a term, which the author can be trusted to correct)

-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Discretionary Revisions (which the author can choose to ignore)

What next?: Accept without revision

Level of interest: An article whose findings are important to those with closely related research interests

Quality of written English: Acceptable

Statistical review: No, the manuscript does not need to be seen by a statistician.
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