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Reviewer’s report:

General

-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Major Compulsory Revisions (that the author must respond to before a decision on publication can be reached)

Thank you, the authors have met all my comments. Just two items remain:

Unfortunately, the revisions to the Conclusion section of the Abstract raise a new problem. It seems inappropriate to write ‘CAM is underutilised’ as a definite statement, when the effectiveness of CAM in these patients (with chronic pain and taking regular opioids) is unknown – as is pointed out in the Discussion itself. This sentence should be more cautious.

-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Minor Essential Revisions (such as missing labels on figures, or the wrong use of a term, which the author can be trusted to correct)

Sorry, I have noted a new spelling error, spinal chord (should be cord) in the Discussion.

-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Discretionary Revisions (which the author can choose to ignore)

-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

What next?: Accept after minor essential revisions

Level of interest: An article whose findings are important to those with closely related research interests

Quality of written English: Acceptable

Statistical review: No
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