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Reviewer’s report:

General
Generally a well designed and concisely written paper.

---------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Major Compulsory Revisions (that the author must respond to before a decision on publication can be reached)
Why is this a "pilot" experiment? In this case it should be aimed at testing feasibility which it does not. The discussion lacks a paragraph outlining the limitations of this study

---------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Minor Essential Revisions (such as missing labels on figures, or the wrong use of a term, which the author can be trusted to correct)
None

---------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Discretionary Revisions (which the author can choose to ignore)
None

What next?: Accept after minor essential revisions

Level of interest: An article of importance in its field

Quality of written English: Acceptable

Statistical review: No
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