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Reviewer’s report:

General The paper can be accepted after minor revision

--------------------------------- Major Compulsory Revisions (that the author must respond to before a decision on publication can be reached)- No  
---------------------------------

Minor Essential Revisions (such as missing labels on figures, or the wrong use of a term, which the author can be trusted to correct)

1. Tables 1-5 treatment of control animals with 0.9% saline(normal saline)- dose of normal saline has been mentioned as 5mg/kg instead of 5ml/kg

2. Instead of using the word "moist weight" of cotton pellet, change to "wet weight" in the results and discussion section

3. The rationale of using various acute inflammatory models without elucidating the antiinflammatory action of the extract is not justified. The investigators could have used a better standard NSAID for comparison

--------------------------------- Discretionary Revisions (which the author can choose to ignore)---------------------------------

What next?: Accept after minor essential revisions

Level of interest: An article whose findings are important to those with closely related research interests

Quality of written English: Acceptable

Statistical review: No
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