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Reviewer's report:

General

******************************************************************************

Major Compulsory Revisions (that the author must respond to before a decision on publication can be reached)

******************************************************************************

Minor Essential Revisions (such as missing labels on figures, or the wrong use of a term, which the author can be trusted to correct)

The authors have largely chosen to ignore comparisons with the state of the art methods or the use of aceinan than I thought they could have used with benefit. Still one or two editable errors of English grammar. However, I accept their comments.

******************************************************************************

Discretionary Revisions (which the author can choose to ignore)

******************************************************************************

What next?: Accept after discretionary revisions

Level of interest: An article of limited interest

Quality of written English: Acceptable

Statistical review: No
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