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Reviewer’s report:

General

---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Major Compulsory Revisions (that the author must respond to before a decision on publication can be reached)

---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Minor Essential Revisions (such as missing labels on figures, or the wrong use of a term, which the author can be trusted to correct)
1. The authors should go through the entire manuscript again for the typographical and spelling errors (for example: 500 mg/kg but not 500mg/kg; spelling of progesterone).
2. Make the style and alignment of the tables more elegant. Remove all the vertical lines in the tables and keeping only the horizontal lines for column headings and at the bottom of the table. Use single-line spacing when writing text exceeding one line.

---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Discretionary Revisions (which the author can choose to ignore)

What next?: Accept after minor essential revisions

Level of interest: An article whose findings are important to those with closely related research interests

Quality of written English: Acceptable

Statistical review: No