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Dear Editor,

We thank you for your valuable comments and providing us with the opportunity to revise our manuscript. We have corrected the manuscript according to editor’s comments as follows.

**Reviewer (Liang Feng)**

1. **HPLC is high-performance liquid chromatography or high pressure liquid chromatography?**
   Response> Thank you for your advice. We corrected as “high-performance liquid chromatography”.

2. “Stemona tuberosa extract (ST) is one of the components in PM014, which are well-standardized herbal formula”. In this sentence, Although this is a composition of herbal formula, Stemona tuberosa extract could not been considered as one of the component. This is not rigorous.
   Response> I agree with this point. Accordingly, “components” was replaced with “herbal extracts”.

3. **For phytochemical analysis, only the content of tuberostemonine N does not ensure the quality of ST. Other methods can be considered for quality control, such as MS.**
   Response> Following reviewer’s advice, HPLC-MS analysis on the Stemona granule was performed and phytochemical profile was added on the revised manuscript (Methods 2.3, Result 3.1, Table 1).

4. **Grammar and spelling still need improvement.**
   Response> We carefully corrected some errors.

Sincerely,

Hyunsu Bae M.D., Ph.D.