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Reviewer’s report:

Major revisions:
Methods should be better explained.
The study was conducted on 333 woman, it must be specified how many woman were asked to participate and what was the respondent rate. Do the authors have information if the respondents were different from the non respondents?
A description of the health care system in Kenya could be of help for the interpretation of results: are conventional and herbal medicine prescribed from the same practitioners? Are they both paid by patients? Is there a difference in costs of conventional and herbal medicine? The study was conducted only in one clinical setting, does this imply a selection of patients? Are the woman included in the study representative of the Nairobi population?

Level of interest: An article of importance in its field

Quality of written English: Not suitable for publication unless extensively edited

Statistical review: No, the manuscript does not need to be seen by a statistician.
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