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Reviewer’s report:

Page 2: lines 35-40: Entirely agree. Interdisciplinary patient care would be greatly beneficial and facilitate not only patient care but understanding between providers

Most CAM studies have been examined from the allopathic practitioner standpoint. I appreciate that you took the time to survey non allopathic CAM providers. This provides a unique perspective, especially for conventional reproductive endocrinologists to understand the standpoint of their CAM practitioner colleagues

Line 260: lack of emotional support. This is a big deal in the REI community. Many clinics employ MSW or PsyD to help support their patients, but I agree that many patients turn to CAM providers for more listening and a perceived increased “personalization” in their care

Great article. Thank you.
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