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Reviewer's report:

1. Is the question posed by the authors well defined?
Yes. The question posed by the authors was clear and explicit.

2. Are the methods appropriate and well described?
The authors clearly mention the methods and list out different methods for appropriate tests. The tests are clearly defined and the methodology is explained well.

3. Are the data sound?
The authors have done a good job of keeping the research question simple and providing the necessary data to back it up.

4. Does the manuscript adhere to the relevant standards for reporting and data deposition?
Yes, it does. However, there are a few grammatical and syntactical errors.

5. Are the discussion and conclusions well balanced and adequately supported by the data?
Yes. The discussions explain the research conducted and provide answers to the questions posed in a scientific way and without bias.

6. Are limitations of the work clearly stated?
This could be a little more explicit.

7. Do the authors clearly acknowledge any work upon which they are building, both published and unpublished?
Yes.

8. Do the title and abstract accurately convey what has been found?
The title is clear and supports the research conducted. The abstract is crisp and concise.

9. Is the writing acceptable?
Yes it is acceptable. However, a few corrections in language syntax could help make it better.