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Reviewer Report

1. Is the question posed by the authors well defined? – YES, very well-done.
2. Are the methods appropriate and well described? - YES
3. Are the data sound? - YES
4. Does the manuscript adhere to the relevant standards for reporting and data deposition? - YES
5. Are the discussion and conclusions well balanced and adequately supported by the data? - YES
6. Are limitations of the work clearly stated? - YES
7. Do the authors clearly acknowledge any work upon which they are building, both published and unpublished? - YES
8. Do the title and abstract accurately convey what has been found? - YES
9. Is the writing acceptable? - YES

- Discretionary Revisions

“Body-efficacy expectation (BEE)” first appears in Methods section paragraph 1, then in Discussion section paragraph 5 and paragraph 10. APA format calls for spelling out the first appearance, following by the abbreviation in a parenthesis. The second and third appearances can be simply BEE. I leave this revision to the author’s discretion or to follow the reviewer #2’s suggestion.