Reviewer’s report

Title: Effect of electroacupuncture versus pelvic floor muscle training plus solifenacin for moderate and severe mixed urinary incontinence in women: A study protocol

Version: 2
Date: 27 June 2014

Reviewer: Alex Moroz

Reviewer’s report:

Major Compulsory Revisions
None

Minor Essential Revisions
What do you mean by "One of the limitations in this trial design, is the non-blinding of the participants and acupuncturists. However, this will be accounted for in the rigorous study methodology."?

Blinding would be relevant if your design included a 'sham control' group; given your goal of demonstrating non-inferiority, I agree that it is not necessary. Would rephrase/clarify, or remove.

Discretionary Revisions
Given extensive exclusion criteria, will you be able to complete recruitment within 1 year, even among 10 centers?
Consider revising the timeline, or exclusion criteria.
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Quality of written English: Acceptable
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