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Reply to Editor

Manuscript Number: 3301467071076489

Authors: Chiang Shan Niu, Li-Jen Chen and Ho-Shan Niu

Title: Antihyperglycemic action of rhodiola-aqueous extract in type1-like diabetic rats.

Dear Editor,

The current revision of our manuscript has been amended in complete accordance with the reviewer’s comments. Changes of the revised part were marked with red words. Also, the reply to comments point-to-point showed in next. We sincerely hope that this revised form will be suitable to meet your standards of acceptance. Thanks for your kind consideration.

Hope to have your final decision soon.

Respectfully,

Professor Chiang-Shan Niu, Ph.D.

The corresponding author
RESPONSES TO REVIEWERS

Reviewers' Comments to Author:

Reviewer 1: The author's presented the corrections requested.

Reply:
Thanks for this suggestion. We appreciated your kind support. Thank you very much.

Reviewer 2: My seem is that research, although important, is still preliminary and needs further detailed in seeking possible secundátios metabolites responsible for the biological effect studied.

Reply: Thanks for this concern. The main aim of the present study is going to indicate the effectiveness of rhodiola-aqueous extract in diabetic medication. Also, we provided the novel findings regarding the mediation of beta-endorphin in this action. Thus, we do believe that this report fixed the scope of this journal and it was not a preliminary research.

Following your instructions, we added one paragraph to concern the active ingredients of rhodiola in discussion section. We agreed your comments regarding the role of possible ingredients and/or metabolites in this action. Also, the references you mentioned were all cited in discussion of this revision. Actually, it will be investigated in our next work that shall be performed in the near. However, at this moment, it is unsuitable to add the data for effective principle(s). Then, the effectiveness of rhodiola will be better to publish at first. This report will initiate more studies in advance. Also, this new finding will be cited by many scientists majored in same fields. Thus, we do hope to have your kind understanding and support as another reviewer. Thank you very much.

We honestly hope that this revision will reach your standards for publication. Once again, I like to express my warmest thanks to you for both your time and invaluable suggestions.

Thank you very much.