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Author’s response to reviews:

As far as Guidelines on the use of animals for scientific research is concern, the Cameroon national ethic committee has up to date deliver ethic clearance only for research concerning human beings. So, as for other studies published in this journal by our team, these studies were conducted according to the ethical guidelines of the Committee for Control and Supervision of Experiments on Animals (Registration no. 173/CPCSEA, dated 28 January, 2000), Government of India, on the use of animals for scientific research. Mentioning the local ethical committee rules and regulations in this paper was just a mistake. This ethical approval exist but for work on patient living with AIDS.

Best regards