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Author’s response to reviews:

Dear Editor,

Thanks so much for the fast review of the manuscript, as well as your prompt reply. We have addressed all the issues raised both by the reviewers and the editorial team. We are very grateful to the different reviewers for the objectivity and the constructiveness of their comments. The details of responses to each of the comments are following:

Issues raised by the Reviewers

Abstract
Page 2, line 1: I would suggest “epidemic” instead of “spread”
The replacement was done as suggested.

Page 2, line 1: Diabetes mellitus (DM) and Dry matter (DM) has the same abbreviation. This may lead to confusion.
We fully agreed with the reviewer comment. In order to avoid any confusion, we opted to write “dry matter” in full all through the document.

Page 2, line 18: Please change “possess acids and neutrals albumins and globulins” to “possess acidic and neutral albumins and globulins”
The comment is a genuine one. We have corrected the typo error observed.

The conclusions of the abstract should be rephrased.
The conclusion has been rephrased to better reflect the content of the work.

Background
Page 3, line 12-13: The sentence “Any form of diabetes exposes to early atherosclerotic heart disease and rapid aging…….” should be revised.

We totally agreed with the reviewer; the sentence mentioned has been revised accordingly.

Page 3, line 13: Please change “Diabetes patients” to “Diabetic patients”

The change was made as suggested by the reviewer.

Type 2 is interchanged with type III. It is useful to be consistent.

The correction was done in order to respect the consistency as commented by the reviewer.

Page 4, line 12: “or unavailable” should be removed

Revision done as suggested.

Page 4, line 26: Please change “protein contain” to “protein content”

Revision done as suggested.

Materials and Methods
Page 5, paragraph 2, line 2: How were the fruits dried?
The fruits were air-dried in the absence of direct sun radiations.

Page 5, paragraph 2, line 3: Please change “500C” to “50oC”

Revision done as suggested.

How was the protein administered? Orally, i.v., i.m?
The different proteins were administered per os.

Page 7: Delete “discussion” from “Results and discussion”

Revision done as suggested.

References
Presentation of the references is NOT uniform.

We have carefully cross-checked the references and corrected those that were not in conformity with the Journal guidelines.

Quality of written English: Needs some language corrections before being Published

We have proofread the document in order to correct any typographic error and
improve on the quality of the language.

Editorial comments
Please remove the figure legends from your uploaded figures, and instead include the legends in your manuscript. More detailed guidelines on our formatting requirements for figures can be found through the following link:

The modifications have been made as suggested by the editorial board.

Sincerely

Clautilde TEUGWA MOFOR