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11th June, 2013

The Editor

BMC Complementary and Alternative Medicine

Dear sir

Re: Resubmission article reference number “6131268361012603”

I wish to refer to the above matter.

2. I am resubmitting the article that I submitted earlier after revision as advised.

3. Below is our response to the comments by the editor.

Comment by editor Action taken by authors

1. Requesting Title page: please include a title page at the front of your manuscript file. It should contain, at minimum, the names, institutions, countries and email addresses of all authors, and the full postal address of the submitting author

Title page, names, institutions, countries and email addresses have been included, thank you

2. Requesting Competing interests

Competing interest has been included after the conclusion, thank you.

3. Please provide details in your methods section on who identified the plant material used in your study. Please also confirm whether voucher specimens of the plant material have been deposited in a publicly available herbarium and include this information in your manuscript, along with a deposition number if available

We have included sample code instead of voucher specimens of betel leaf and it has not been deposited in a publicly available herbarium. See section ‘sample
4. Please also ensure that your revised manuscript conforms to the journal style. The manuscript has been revised, thank you.

Thank you

Yours sincerely

Dr Zubaidah Haji Abdul Rahim