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| 1    | Please provide details in your Methods section on who undertook the formal identification of the plant material used in your study. Please also confirm whether a voucher specimen of this material has been deposited in a publicly available herbarium, and include this information in your manuscript. A deposition number should be included, if available. | As we already mentioned that Standardized pomegranate fruit extract was *generous gift from Natural Remedies, Bangalore, INDIA.* We directly procure *P. Granatum L* fruit powder from a reputed company. So all identifications of plant material were carried out by *Natural Remedies, Bangalore, INDIA.* | See line no. 103-105  
Highlighted in Blue color |
| 2    | Please ensure that the full name of the institutional ethics committee that approved your study is included in your ethics statement. | Full name Provided as per your instruction.  
Highlighted in Blue color | See line no. 89 |
| 3    | The Author' Contributions and Competing Interests sections appear to have been removed from your manuscript. Could we please request that these be re-included. | Included as desired.  
Highlighted in Blue color | See line no. 331-338 |