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Dear Editor:

Thank you very much for quick response with regard to our resubmitted manuscript 6719926469494195 entitled “Catechin prevents the calcium oxalate monohydrate induced renal calcium crystallization in NRK-52E cells and the ethylene glycol induced renal stone formation in rat” has been revised according to the comments and suggestions. And we very appreciate the useful and valuable suggestions from you and reviewers. The changes in the revised manuscript are highlighted. We would like to resubmit this revised manuscript to BioMed Central, and hope it is acceptable for publication in the journal. Please do not hesitate to contact us with additional questions or concerns.

Answers to reviewers’ questions were as follows:

**Associate Editor comments:**

I have reviewed the responses of the authors, I consider them punctually attended all suggestions of the reviewers, in particular as regards the clarification of the experiments in vivo and in vitro, and the revision of the english language. Based on the aforementioned, I propose that be accepted in the terms that it was sent, just want to note the following:

1) **Revise editing details (for example, a point more on page 13).**

Answer: Thanks very much for your comments. The extra point has been deleted and we have checked our manuscript carefully to revise the inappropriate details.

2) **Put in the figure caption if the photomicrographs of Figure 1 is 40X, 100X?**
Answer: Thanks very much for your comments. The information about magnification of the microscopic images was supplemented in the corresponding figure legends:

Figure 1. NRK-52E cells stained with TMRE (laser confocal fluorescence microscopy × 40). Dots aggregated in mitochondria with red fluorescent bodies were positive staining, while blue fluorescent ones showed mitochondria around nuclei.

Editorial comments:

- Please include information on the context of your study in the Background section of your Abstract.

Answer: Thanks very much for your comments. We have supplemented the Background section of the Abstract with the information on the context of our study.

- Please ensure that your Authors' Contributions section is formatted in accordance with our formatting guidelines. Further information on these can be found through the following link:

http://www.biomedcentral.com/bmccomplementalternmed/authors/instructions/researcharticle#formatting-contributions

Answer: Thanks very much for your comments. We have checked and revised the Authors' Contributions section to make sure that it is formatted in accordance with formatting guidelines.
We appreciate very much for your time in reviewing our manuscript. And the suggestions and comments really helped us a lot. If there is any information I can provide, please don’t hesitate to contact us.

Thank you again for your time and patience. We are looking forward to hearing from you soon.

With kindest regards to you.

Yours sincerely,

Yun-Fei Xu