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**Reviewer's report:**

The manuscript was carefully revised and significantly improved. I have no further objections and indicate below just some spelling errors to help the authors to prepare the article for the final submission:

**Minor Essential Revisions:**

1. Page 7, in the last sentence of the section “Animals”, please correct “All animals received….” instead of “…were received”, the same error occurs on the page 9: write “all groups…received…” instead of “…were received”
2. I wonder, you first collected the blood from jugular veins and then sacrificed the animals or on the contrary? Was the blood collected AFTER cervical dislocation?
3. Page 15, the section on toxicity study: please check the spelling of “abnormal”, it is written as “bnormal”
4. In the section “Gross evaluation” revise the grammar, please and also in the section “Gastric tolerability”. There are sentences, which begin from the small letters in these sections.
5. In the section “Antioxidant evaluation of DES”: it would look better, if you write 2.40 instead of 2.404 in the meaning of SEM, so all indices look the same.
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