Author's response to reviews

Title: Assessment of phytochemicals, antioxidant, anti-lipid peroxidation and anti-hemolytic activity of extract and various fractions of Maytenus royleanus leaves

Authors:

Maria Shabbir (comrademaria@gmail.com)
Muhammad Rashid Khan (mrkhangau@yahoo.com)
Naima Saeed (naima_qau@hotmail.com)

Version: 4 Date: 29 May 2013

Author's response to reviews:

Dear Editor

We have revised the manuscript according to the suggestions of the reviewers.

Yours sincerely

Dr Muhammad Rashid Khan
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Reviewer: Ilhami Gulcin

Reviewer's report:

I carefully examined the manuscript. There are a lot of grammatical errors in this article (Especially in references list). The manuscript needs some corrections. After these corrections the manuscript can be accepted as a regular manuscript. These corrections were listed below.

1. Page 9/Line 3: “Fresh leaves (0.1 g) were homogenized in (1 ml) buffer solution (phosphate buffer = pH 7.5).” should be corrected as “Fresh leaves (0.1 g) were homogenized in 1 ml of phosphate buffer (pH 7.5).”.

Corrected

2. In Reference 3: “Gulcin I, Sukru B:” should be corrected as “Gulcin I, Beydemir S:” Corrected

be corrected as “Bursal E, Koksal E, Gulcin I, Bilsel G, Goren AC:”. Corrected


5. In Reference 18: “Gulcin I, Ahmet ZT, Ekrem K:” should be corrected as “Gulcin I, Tel AZ, Kirecci E:”. Corrected
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All corrections are incorporated, accordingly. Now the present format may be accepted for publication
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