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Comments
(Minor Essential Revisions)

Abstract

Line 2: should be .... work was aimed at evaluating....... 
Method last line 5: should be .... mg/kg body weight of mice intra peritoneally (i.p) 

Results: line 1 put the value and percent in brackets

Line :induced not induces

Conclusion: line 2 should be .....and this....

Line 3: ....anti-inflammatory plant

Background

Line 2: ‘used’

Line 3: should be ......system and infact

Line 5: should be ....Tabib and approximately

Lin 10: should be .....locally which is....... 

Line 17: recommended for th treatment of....

Line 18: should be... The focus of the present study is to test the

METHODS

Animals

Last line: Which department and which university

Plant material

Line 3: should be.... herbarium with

Line 4: should be ....powder

Line 8: should be ....fractionated

Antipyretic

Line 2: divided into .....six mice...... but allowed

Line 12: formula not properly typed
Hot plate
Last line: Calculated using Carrageen induced paw edema
Line 3: Should be ...rest of the Histamine paw oedema
Line 3: should be.....inhibition of paw oedema

RESULTS
Acetic acid
Line 1: recast sentence, it may start like this...... The results show that the relief of pain was achieved in dose dependent manner

DISCUSSION
Line 5: recast
Line 6: pathogenic fever and its....... Line 46: recast
Line 53: check for correctness on non phagocytic Line 65: recast sentence

Level of interest: An article of importance in its field

Quality of written English: Needs some language corrections before being published

Statistical review: No, the manuscript does not need to be seen by a statistician.

Declaration of competing interests:
I declare that I have no competing interests