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Reviewer's report:

My comments have been adequately addressed, with one exception, which I would currently identify as a "minor essential revision":

In follow-up to my previous comment (#4) with respect to data table 2: the authors verified the percentages and included a note that n=100; I am unclear, however, how this 'n' relates to the paragraph directly under table 1 where they report that "Of the total sample, 24% (n=111) reported they had worked in community pharmacy at some time in the past", which I have assumed is the 'n' that comprises the sub-set of respondents referred to in table 2. Could the authors please clarify in the text of the manuscript how/why these numbers differ, or adjust if they should be the same?
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