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Reviewer's report:

Discretionary Revisions:
The authors must revise the scientific names used in the text. any names are not italic.

Table 1 – Correct the name of Chloramphenicol.

Minor Revisions:
Page 4: The selected plants were either collected from the field or purchased from the local market (Table 1)
... This information is on table 2;
On the table 2, the author must present the voucher number of all plants used. Please, correct this point replacing the M by the voucher number.
Is common use tables only with the upper and down lines of the tables, and the down line of the header (please, see any article from the journal to observe the table format)

Page 6 line 2 – the concentration of UFC after the inoculum remains 106? Not be 105?

Major Revisions:
The methodology is very confuse.
Which the range was used to determine the MIC of the antibiotics?
I think that the authors must rewrite the materials and methods section.

What the MIC of the plant extracts?

The author did not presented any discussion about his results. I recommend a search on pubmed by the article of Gunics, Molnar and Coutinho. These authors published several authors using plants, any of the same families used on this study as modifier of antibiotic activity. I think that using these articles and the others cited by the authors, an interesting discussion can be made.
Level of interest: An article of importance in its field

Quality of written English: Needs some language corrections before being published

Statistical review: No, the manuscript does not need to be seen by a statistician.
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