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Reviewer’s report:

Minor Essential Revisions

p 8, last line: The math appears incorrect. I get 660 instead of 1652. Also, I believe that the last set of parenthesis should say (0*0), not (1*0). This doesn't change the math, but the utility for Death is 0 and during cycle 1 the number of patients is 0.

Everything else in the paper is clear.

Level of interest: An article whose findings are important to those with closely related research interests

Quality of written English: Acceptable

Statistical review: No, the manuscript does not need to be seen by a statistician.

Declaration of competing interests:

I declare that I have no competing interests.