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Reviewer's report:

General

This paper is a revision of a paper I have previously reviewed. It reports the results of a survey of breast cancer patients regarding their use of a variety of CAM modalities, and compares these results to a similar survey from 1998.

This paper is responsive to my previous review. I continue to think the authors devote too much space in the discussion to speculations about why some CAM therapy use went up and some went down, but I am not opposed to proceeding with the paper is it stands. I do note that the methods section contains text about performing a logistic regression analysis, but this results have been deleted from the paper and the methods section needs to be revised.

What next?: Accept after discretionary revisions

Level of interest: An article whose findings are important to those with closely related research interests

Quality of written English: Acceptable

Statistical review: No, the manuscript does not need to be seen by a statistician.
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