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Reviewer's report:

General
This is a well written and interesting survey of web sites dealing with the treatment of cervical cancer. 46 sites were assessed using a reliable method, but overall the authors found large variations in quality which is much in line with previous similar investigations in the field of internet and medicine.

Major Compulsory Revisions (that the author must respond to before a decision on publication can be reached)
Perhaps some coefficient of agreement could be added (eg kappa) for the agreement between raters?

Minor Essential Revisions (such as missing labels on figures, or the wrong use of a term, which the author can be trusted to correct)

Discretionary Revisions (which the author can choose to ignore)

What next?: Accept after minor essential revisions

Level of interest: An article of importance in its field

Quality of written English: Acceptable

Statistical review: No