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Reviewer's report:

General

----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------
Major Compulsory Revisions (that the author must respond to before a decision on publication can be reached)
In the response to reviewers, the authors have addressed the concerns listed satisfactorily. Unfortunately, for one of the responses the corresponding manuscript text does not provide the same message, or at least not as clearly. Specifically, this reviewer would like the response in the text to the question regarding exogenous vs endogenous estrogen to replicate the response to reviewers from "It seems likely..." to the end of the response.

----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------
Minor Essential Revisions (such as missing labels on figures, or the wrong use of a term, which the author can be trusted to correct)

----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------
Discretionary Revisions (which the author can choose to ignore)

What next?: Unable to decide on acceptance or rejection until the authors have responded to the major compulsory revisions

Level of interest: An article whose findings are important to those with closely related research interests

Quality of written English: Acceptable

Statistical review: No
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