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**Reviewer's report:**

Major Compulsory Revisions:

1) The key message is that these uterine pseudoaneurysms must be treated urgently. Many will be amenable to endovascular treatment which can include stents as well as embolization and thrombin. Therefore the conclusions should be changed to reflect this—an opinion from an interventional radiologist and/or vascular surgeon should be sought prior to resorting to hysterectomy where time allows

2) It is not clear from the report whether this was a pseudoaneurysm or a cyst which was highly vascular. I am sure the diagnosis was clear at hysterectomy and it appears that the authors were convinced this was a pseudoaneurysm—hence the use of the word 'cyst' in the uterine wall is misleading—if it was a pseudoaneurysm this should be called a pseudoaneurysm rather than a cyst
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