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Reviewer's report:

I consider bringing attention to post hysterectomy ectopic pregnancies very important, and I think the frequency is increasing.

Correction of wording, grammar and syntext:

Abstract first paragraph:
Should read: but it must be kept in mind in women with a history of hysterectomy "who present with abdominal pain."

Delete "child bearing age"
Since "first described" by Wendeler

Abstract second paragraph
Delete "the last"
What is "Ovular"

Background second paragraph
"since first described by Wendeler"
Replace "is" with "was" third paragraph: in a few cases vaginal bleeding was...

Background fifth paragraph
There is no reference #56.
Change wording: favor ectopic is a fallopian tube prolapse into the vagina"

Background sixth paragraph:
The commend: pregnancy testing immediately before the procedure could help determine: this is NOT true (pregnancy testing is usually negative, unless a pregnancy is suspected, such as a missed period.)

Case presentation first paragraph
Replace supravesical with supracervical

Case presentation second paragraph
The abdomen proved "tender" (not painful)

Case presentation third paragraph
We performed a bilateral salpingectomy with the preservation of both ovaries:
How do the authors expect to prevent another ectopic; a fistula tract much has been present in the vagina.

The authors bibliography is very limited given over 60 post-hysterectomy ectopics have been reported

Overall, this manuscript can be considerable improved, and if so, would consider a re-review