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To
The Executive Editor
BMC Women’s Health

Subject: submission of revised version of manuscript.

Dear Sir/madam,

I have made following revisions in the earlier version of the manuscript “Estimates of delays in diagnosis of cervical cancer in Nepal” based on the comments provided by the reviewers.

1. The grammar of the text has been revised thoroughly and made necessary corrections.
2. The sentences and/or words pointed out by reviewers have been checked and corrected.
3. In methods- the sampling method has been elaborated for clarification.
4. Operational definitions of different delays are made clearer by elaboration and providing references.
5. Because the objective of the study was not to measure the outcome of the disease and not to find the correlates of stage at diagnosis, we could not tabulate the results with stage at diagnosis and outcome. Maybe, this paper suggest further study for measuring delay and outcome.
6. Most of the suggestions of reviewers are addressed in this revised version.

We highly thank the reviewers for their valuable suggestions and comments.

Looking forward to hearing from you very soon.

Sincerely yours,

Deepak Gyenwali