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Reviewer's report:

This is a well written manuscript with a clear question defined. The methods employed i.e. - an internet-based survey are appropriate to address the questions. That said, I am not entirely clear what the overall objective is - an audit of GP awareness of NICE guidelines OR an audit of GP awareness of symptoms and investigations of suspected ovarian cancer?

In addition, an 8% response rate can hardly be said to be representative, particularly as, as demonstrated in this study, the majority of GPs will see and investigate one or two cases of suspected ovarian cancer per year.

The results are presented in a clear & concise fashion and the discussion is well considered. The range of references quoted is appropriate.

However, for this survey to have impact, I think it would need to be repeated to ensure a higher response rate.

Level of interest: An article of importance in its field

Quality of written English: Acceptable

Statistical review: Yes, but I do not feel adequately qualified to assess the statistics.
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