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Dear Editor,

MS: 1237144169959599 Research article A qualitative investigation of the impact of peer to peer online support for women living with Polycystic Ovary Syndrome Sarah Holbrey and Neil S Coulson BMC Women’s Health (Section: Gynecology, gynecological disease, and reproductive health)

Thank you for your most recent correspondence. Please find below a summary of the actions taken to address the points made in your email concerning the above.

You report a sample size in the methods section of the abstract of 64 participants, but only 50 participants were included in the analysis. I recommend the authors report the sample size as 50 participants in the abstract

Response: The abstract has been amended and now reports the sample size as 50.

Title Page
Please include a title page at the front of your manuscript file. It should contain, at minimum, the names, institutions, countries and email addresses of all authors, and the full postal address of the submitting author.

Response: This has been done

Name of ethics committee:
Please update your ethics statement to include the name of the ethics committee that approved your study.

Response: The name of the ethics committee has now been included.

Please ensure all references are complete and accurate, for example Ref. 25 is incomplete

Response: Reference number 25 has been completed (our apologies for missing that) and the remainder of the references checked for completeness.

Best wishes,

Dr Neil Coulson
Associate Professor of Health Psychology