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Reviewer's report:

REVIEWER’S REPORT
Title: Vaginal douching a traditional habit and a cause of reproductive health hazards: cross sectional study
The findings from this study have potential to make a contribution to knowledge about women and health. Minor essential revisions must be made. After revision, re-read the paper. Revisions were specified following.

Page 1
Suggested revised title: Vaginal douching among women with vulvo-vaginitis and reproductive health hazards
Omar M. “Shaaban” first author’s surname must start with a capital letter.
“Key words” misspelling, should be corrected as key words.

Introduction:
Page 2, line 17- when the first to be used PID must be the long spelling, the abbreviation (PID) be indicated in parentheses
Only women with vulvo-vaginitis were selected to sampling of this study. Objectives and the title of this study should be revised according to this statement. Also, aim of abstract should be revised.

Page 3, line 10- “of” was repeated two times, one of them must deleted
When parentheses are used, a space should be left between the parentheses with the word and in the article should be considered.

Material and methods:
In material and method section must be specified the number of women admitted to the hospital between the dates of study, the number of women who do not agree to participate in the study and the number of women taken in sampling of the study.

Results:
Page 5, line 20- when the first to be used PTL must be the long spelling, the abbreviation (PTL) be indicated in parentheses.
Page 5, line 22-after “trichomanis vaginalis”, a comma should be.
Discussion:
The result of Egypt studies are lack. Results about vaginal douching and reproductive health hazards of women from Egypt should be used in the discussion. Thus, part of the discussion will become the richer.

Page 6: In the last line of the first paragraph was written twice “%”, one of them the deleted.

Page 7, line 1: What is the RCT? Randomized controlled trial? When the first to be used RCT must be the long spelling, the abbreviation (RCT) be indicated in parentheses.

Page 7, line 3: PID should be written instead of pelvic inflammatory disease.

Page 7, line 12: What is the CDC? When the first to be used CDC must be the long spelling, the abbreviation (CDC) be indicated in parentheses.

Level of interest: An article of importance in its field

Quality of written English: Needs some language corrections before being published

Statistical review: Yes, and I have assessed the statistics in my report.