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The BioMed Central Editorial Team

Thanks for advises. We tried modification base on your recommended.

1. One major issue is that the design of the study is not clear. For example, they sampled 26 medical settings and selected 11 women from each setting.

Added diagram of flow/design of study.

2. Also, Table 1 is missing labels in the first column and should be stratified by employed and non-employed.

A. Revised Table one base on groups.

3. The randomization methods are not well-described.

For method sampling initially city divided into five sections (north, south, center, west, and east). Then, two health centers of each of these 5 sections (affiliated to Zahedan University of Medical Sciences) were selected, randomly (total 10 health center). After that, 11 housewives and 11 employed women (totally 22 women) were chosen of each of these health centers. Finally, 110 employed and 110 housewives were selected with multistage stratified cluster method selection, randomly. The comparison group (housewives) was matched for age and gravity.

4. Should standard errors and 95% confidence intervals be presented rather than standard deviations?

In Table 2 wrote confidence intervals.

5. The quality of English needs substantial improvement.

Please let us edited after Consider the manuscript for peer review. I will send manuscript to Edanz group for edit.

Best wishes

Fatihe kermansaravi