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Dear Editor
BMC: Women’s Health

Subject: Responses on reviewer’s comments on manuscript 1781377658438380

Thank you very much for making the valuable comments and suggestions on our submitted manuscript titled: ‘Factors affecting knowledge and perceptions of women about smoking: a cross-sectional study from a developing country’.

We have incorporated all the comments made by the reviewer and revised the manuscript accordingly. As suggested, we used ‘track changes’ mode in MS Word document.

In continuation, please find the sheet of point-by-point responses on the comments.

With thanks and best regards,

Corresponding author

ALI KHAN KHUWAJA
Assistant Professor and Convener Research
Family Medicine and Community Health Sciences
Aga Khan University, Karachi, Pakistan
Tel: (92-21) 486-4922
Fax: (92-21) 493-4294, 493-2095
Email: ali.khuwaja@aku.edu
Web: www.aku.edu/chs/dralikhankhowaja
Reviewer: Brian Flynn

Discretionary Revisions
1. We have now incorporated the changes in table3 as recommended by reviewer.
2. We further copy edited the manuscript for minor changes as suggested by the reviewer.

Reviewer: Joan M Brewster

Major Compulsory Revisions
1. We incorporated changes in conclusion section as suggested by reviewer.
2. We incorporated the changes in results section as suggested by reviewer.
3. As recommended by reviewer, we mentioned about not doing the multiple comparison as limitation of the study in respective paragraph.

Minor Essential Revisions
4. The manuscript was again revised for minor grammatical corrections by a native English speaker.
5. We incorporated the point as suggested by reviewer.
6. We integrated the point as suggested by reviewer.
7. We incorporated the point identified by reviewer for table 2 and 3.
8. We made the changes as recommended by reviewer.

Quality of written English: We revised the manuscript for language corrections.

Thank You.