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Author’s response to reviews: see over
Dear Reviewer

We have made substantial revision based on the comment that we receive from the reviewer. The comments are well taken and addressed in the revised manuscript.

**General**

We made substantial editions and formatting of the manuscript.

**Specific**

**Home and Community Based Care program assessment for People Living with HIV/AIDS in Arba Minch, Southern Ethiopia**

**Reviewer: Claudia Bausewein**

1. Abstract, results section: end of third line, I think there is a word missing before alleviation.
   Response: well taken comments and done.

2. Introduction, first line: “there were” should be deleted
   Response: done

3. Methods, study setting and participants: instead of informants I would suggest to say “participants in qualitative interviews”
   Response: done

4. Methods, sample and procedure: If the sentence starting “The sampling procedure involved…” relates to the quantitative part then this section should be moved at the end of the paragraph describing the quantitative sampling procedure.
   Response: done

5. Methods, data analysis: The authors have included new information about the type of qualitative analyses but the information how they performed framework analyses is still rather limited. Would it be possible to add two or three sentences here?
   Response: done

6. Results: Where the authors report numbers, it is not necessary to have 100% in brackets. I think the reader knows that.
   Response: well taken comments and addressed.
7. Results, psychosocial support: 6th line, I think there is a word missing after “different”. Response: done

8. Discussion: There are still some results, especially numbers in the results section which are already mentioned in the results section. From my point of view, there should be no results in the discussion section. Response: well taken comments and revised accordingly.

Kindest regards