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Author’s response to reviews

To: Ashleigh Manning
Assistant Editor
BMC-series journals

Dear Mrs Manning,

We were happy to receive your positive message.

We fully formatted the manuscript to comply to the journal format and we have made the change according to reviewer 2.

We hope that the manuscript will be considered for publication soon.

We greatly appreciate your cooperation and look forward to hearing from you.

With best regards,

Nathalie Hasler-Nguyen, PhD

Reviewer: Pier Francesco F Porciani

Reviewer's report:
Discretionary Revisions
I suggest to specify the absence of any polyphosphates in the "whitening chewing gum" tested.

Answer: This was specified under product as: “A confectionary chewing gum with a tooth whitening claim, V6 White Strong Mint (chewing gum C; Fertin), containing carbamide and xylitol but not polyphosphates was used as positive control”.