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**Reviewer's report:**

This manuscript is so poorly written that it will require major revisions before it can be published by any journal. It appear the authorrs have little or no training in writing scientific/clinical papers for publication. Furthermore, there are numerours grammatical errors in the manuscript that will make it difficult for readers to understand what the authors mean.

The abstract does not reflect the content of the manuscript. I suggest th abstract should be structed - Objective, Methods, Results and Conclusion.

This manuscript cannot be published in its present form. I suggest the authors should
give it to more experienced colleagus at their respective institutions for internal peer review before resubmitting it for consideration for publication.

**What next?**: Reject because scientifically unsound

**Level of interest:** An article whose findings are important to those with closely related research interests

**Quality of written English:** Not suitable for publication unless extensively edited

**Statistical review:** No, the manuscript does not need to be seen by a statistician.
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