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Reviewer's report:

Major Compulsory Revisions

The manuscript has considerably improved. The authors dealt adequately with my concerns. Only one point needs to be clarified. In my opinion, the importance of confidence intervals cannot be stressed enough. Results of the present study are derived from a sample and confidence intervals indicate other plausible values for the measure of interest. Especially for small studies they are valuable because they describe the precision of the study results. I don't think that confidence intervals would be misleading in the present situation. Having read the authors response I still recommend to provide confidence intervals for key results.

What next?: Unable to decide on acceptance or rejection until the authors have responded to the major compulsory revisions

Level of interest: An article of importance in its field

Quality of written English: Acceptable

Statistical review: No

Declaration of competing interests:

I declare that I have no competing interests.