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21st July, 2014

Victorino Silvestre
Journal Editorial Office
BioMed Central

Dear Victorino,

Re: MS: 2103060184130167 The dental health of primary school children living in fluoridated, pre-fluoridated and non-fluoridated communities in New South Wales, Australia

Thank you for your email. The comments are addressed as follows:

1. Manuscript – proof read.
2. I do not understand this comment. We are providing information on a City before it fluoridates. We need a comparison site which is fluoridated, plus another site which has no fluoride to check that the City is similar in terms of dental health with other non-fluoridated communities.
3. Sentence added.
4. Table 1 deleted.
5. Table 2 (now 1) has been explained in more detail and proportions added.
6. Table 3 (now 2) SiC now mentioned.
7. I have suggested this paper should go to BMC Oral Heath as the reason for undertaking the study was to show the City Council what potential dental benefits would be gained by distributing our data, hence the discussion brings in dental data from other sources to show our sample reflects the oral health of New South Wales.
Yours Sincerely,
Professor Anthony Blinkhorn