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Reviewer’s report:

1. The authors have responded satisfactorily to my previous comment points 2, 4, and 5.

2. I raised the issue of sampling, and the authors’ response showed that they did not think that was a problem. It was not very satisfactory to me. However, in their response to the other reviewer (Saman Warnakulasuriya), they agreed that possibility of selection bias should be discussed. The limitations they added were enough for me.

3. Another point in my previous report was about the test-retest reliability and validity of the proposed scale. The authors agreed that these issues should be explored in future studies. I would suggest they add a few words about these issues in the limitation section.
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