Reviewer’s report

Title: Factors influencing dental care access in Jordanian adults

Version: 2 Date: 6 August 2014

Reviewer: Ha Hoang

Reviewer’s report:

Minor Essential Revisions

Thank you for inviting me to review this paper. Overall the paper is well written and presented. Please see below my comments and suggestions.

Title: appropriate

Abstract: Page 2, lines 49, 50: you used ‘married subjects’ and ‘single subjects’. It could be better to express them as respondents who were married or single.

Introduction: Page 3 line 77 and page 4 line 93, page 5 line 130: ‘subjects’ should be respondents.

Methods: adequate information presented

Results: The results are clearly presented.

Discussion: The first paragraph (limitations of the study) of the discussion section should be presented at the end of this section before you proceed to the conclusions.

Line 231 “while Woolfolk and his co-workers” should be “while Woolfolk and his colleagues”

Line 244 “other researchers” should be “other studies”

Level of interest: An article whose findings are important to those with closely related research interests

Quality of written English: Acceptable

Statistical review: No, the manuscript does not need to be seen by a statistician.
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