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**Reviewer’s report:**

1. Is the question posed by the authors well defined? Yes
2. Are the methods appropriate and well described? Yes
3. Are the data sound? Yes
4. Does the manuscript adhere to the relevant standards for reporting and data deposition? Yes
5. Are the discussion and conclusions well balanced and adequately supported by the data? Yes
6. Are limitations of the work clearly stated? No
7. Do the authors clearly acknowledge any work upon which they are building, both published and unpublished? Yes
8. Do the title and abstract accurately convey what has been found? No
9. Is the writing acceptable? Yes

The paper is well done, the methodology is correct. The reviewer thinks that the title should be added the study design. Study limitations should be clarified

I think the figures are too