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Reviewer's report:

Major Compulsory Revisions

1-The English, I am afraid to say, is still not good enough-there are so many grammatical errors that I can not list them. Eg abstract conclusion could be "Improvements in metabolic control among diabetic patients...."

2-The Abstract in particular needs rewriting still eg Results could be "At the end of follow up, no differences were found between the groups...."

3-Even if a true cost-effectiveness analysis can not be conductedm, workforce costs should be known-this would be very helpful indeed

4-Looking at the data-repeated measures analysis would probably show a significant difference between the 2 approaches

5-How many sessions/practice were there?

6-Page 6-was informed consent given?

7-Table 2-Be better if Group A and B were shown together by variable as in Table 3

What next?: Unable to decide on acceptance or rejection until the authors have responded to the major compulsory revisions

Level of interest: An article of importance in its field

Quality of written English: Not suitable for publication unless extensively edited

Statistical review: Yes, but I do not feel adequately qualified to assess the statistics.
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