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Author's response to reviews:

Dear editors,

Many thanks for your contributions. I think the article is now ready to be published. I have made all the formatting corrections you recommended. Moreover, we have changed some parts of the article considering reviewers' comments, which are addressed below.

Reviewer: Bo Abrahamsen

Major Compulsory Revisions: None

Minor Compulsory Revisions:
1) We have changed this section by adding "lost their difference" to the line 17.
2) We did not change this part because we think it is needed for future direction of research on this topic.
3) Although we agree with you that the suggested mechanism is not convincing, we think it is a possible explanation for "inverse discordance" and so we did not deleted it.
4) We have removed all the words "positive" from Table 4.

Discretionary Revisions:
We added the sentence "(hormone replacement therapy was not considered a bone active agent)" to page 5.
We deleted the word "blindly".

Reviewer: Florent Richy

No comment.

We have also proof read the article and it is now ready to submit.

Respectfully yours,
Alireza Moayyeri, MD